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**Introduction from the editor**

A fundamental tenet of infrastructure investment is the notional symmetrical band whereby investors have both downside protection as well as a prudent range for outperformance before regulatory intervention is likely to occur. Yet this thesis has been tested in the last 20 years – and particularly in the last decade (2005 to 2015) – as the risk/return balance has proven rather asymmetrical for many investors, driven by the frequency, intensity and complexity of risks – regulatory, political, social, technological, climatic/environmental and economic.

Profound changes in telecommunications, water, gas, electricity and renewables have generated outsized returns for some investors, but significant value destruction for others. Further, rapid changes have occurred to business models in various infrastructure sectors – frequently over periods of eight years or less (shorter than the average hold period for most infrastructure funds) – thus challenging the widely held perception that the infrastructure industry is slow to evolve.

The confluence of these risks is at an all-time high. They are not one-off events, but dynamic. Their influence is growing and will shape the industry for the foreseeable future.

This dynamism coincides with a five-year global financial market environment of interest rate declines and credit spread compression driven by central banking policies. The combination of these two effects, added to widespread infrastructure asset class re-allocations by institutional investors, is producing asset price inflation as infrastructure funds seek to deploy these allocations. Indeed, many infrastructure asset prices are now exceeding pre-2008 bubble levels with historical amounts of equity and debt (much of which is being fixed over extended periods of time) pouring into the market. In such an environment, it can be argued that the level of actual performance risk inherent in these assets may become obscured by the ongoing high valuations. One may therefore conclude that the confluence of increasing risks and falling returns suggests that infrastructure investment may be reaching an inflection point. The question that must be addressed therefore is: Are current infrastructure risk-adjusted returns appropriate?

This book attempts to answer that question by drawing on the perspectives of leading figures in the infrastructure sector, including former regulators in the UK and US, global fund managers, a former UK treasury minister, global law firms, a global rating agency and a global risk manager. Case studies are also included showcasing how investors have addressed adverse and often capricious regulatory interventions that destroyed value, and how other investors have utilised regulation to generate superior returns.
Infrastructure risks fall broadly into two categories:

1. **Endogenous risks** – such risks are inherent to the asset and are largely within the control of the investor, management and employees. Examples include operating risks, cost overruns and performance.

2. **Exogenous risks** – such risks are beyond the control of owners, management and employees. Examples include regulation, GDP growth and other economic factors, social movements, political influences and disruptive technology changes.

My first book, *Best Practice in Infrastructure Asset Management: Creating and Maintaining Value for All Stakeholders*, published by PEI in December 2010, focused on endogenous risks. Although it sought input on exogenous risk no one was willing, at that time, to write on record about the impact of such risks on the sector.

Almost five years later, the infrastructure investment industry is now recognising and openly discussing the importance and scale of such risks. This book therefore focuses on assessing the six main exogenous risks (introduced below), the catalysts driving them and their interrelationships.

Among the exogenous risks, regulatory has received the most coverage in industry press. Whether it be retroactive taxes implemented in Spain and Italy or the decision in Norway (although a governmental decision) to reduce the tariff rate for Gassled’s transportation contracts by up to 90 percent, these events foretell a new era of capricious regulatory changes and, as some would argue, breaches of national and international law. While many expected these actions to occur in emerging markets, they were considered unthinkable, until recently, in the OECD West.

However, regulation is, by its very nature, imperfect and new regulatory regimes are scheduled to occur in defined timeframes to reset regulation to achieve targeted outcomes. When there are perceived market distortions, through regulators’ own guidance or by market dynamics, regulators may or may not have the legal right to implement new regulation or change existing regulation. It is here that many infrastructure investors place their entire focus on regulatory risk; to ensure there is a history of a stable regulatory regime as well as appropriate legal frameworks that can act as safeguards against, or at least minimise the impact of, interventions.

Yet investors relying on this two dimensional view of regulatory risk may face a nasty surprise. The two dimensions have become much more complex; with political, societal, technological, economic and climatic risks becoming more prominent, investors are now facing six dimensions of risk – a totally new universe.

Regulatory determination is no longer a process that considers the (then) long-standing perception of an industry’s direction within a planned regulatory framework. Rather, regulatory determinations now need to consider a number of dynamic factors that collectively can (and have) radically change market structures and lead to new regulatory frameworks, which can also include unforeseeable regulatory interventions.

Political risk manifests itself in two ways, geopolitical and national, and can have a significant impact on the infrastructure sector. Examples of geopolitical risk include: Russia
repeatedly cutting off Ukraine’s gas supply, which has also impacted gas supply and pipeline stability across Europe; and US/EU sanctions on Russia over Ukraine and the EU anti-trust proceedings against Gazprom.

On a national (or as the case may be state/regional) level, governments may use their authority to dismantle regulatory bodies, enact new regulation and correct perceived market distortions, including windfall profit taxes or retroactive taxes. Where infrastructure companies and investors have achieved what is perceived to be excessive profits, some national and/or regional governments may seek to return these assets back into public ownership through renationalisation or by simply not renewing concessions, allowing them to receive the benefits of these large income streams.

However, it is social and technology risks that have driven both the largest value creation and destruction in infrastructure investment. Moreover, given certain dynamic catalysts, both these trends are likely to create the greatest risks and opportunities over the coming decades.

Social movements have and will play an even greater role in the infrastructure sector. Environmentalism and distrust of financial institutions, foreign investors, and offshore tax havens are the major social drivers currently affecting infrastructure. Examples of social unrest include Australian voter outrage against infrastructure privatisation, which led to the State of Victoria cancelling the AUD6 billion East-West Link contract and the State of Queensland withdrawing some AUD37 billion in privatisations.

Environmentalism is the strongest social movement to date and affects every infrastructure sector through higher standards for air, land and water quality and waste management, requiring hundreds of billions of investment in new and existing facilities. It has unequivocally and dramatically changed industries across the globe and will continue to shape sustainability within the infrastructure industry. For example, the massive social protests that followed the Fukushima disaster led Germany to close a third of its nuclear fleet immediately and to schedule the rest for closure by 2022 and Swiss voters to approve a referendum to close all nuclear plants at the end of their current lives.

The global finance community has also been under enormous social scrutiny, which has resulted in greater regulation of banks, private equity and infrastructure operators. The infrastructure industry is just beginning to address societal perceptions of private infrastructure ownership by the formation of two industry groups: the Long-Term Infrastructure Investors Association (LTIIA) and the Global Infrastructure Investor Association (GIIA).

Foreign investors have been the target of social movements whose emotions have ranged from nationalistic fervour to outright xenophobia. Further, the broad ownership base of foreign investors appears to empower politicians and regulators to ‘diversify the pain’ by reducing the concentration of local investors (thus reducing blowback from the local investment community) and therefore providing flexibility to implement retroactive taxes and/or lower returns via new tariff regimes. This empowerment is bolstered by revelations of the aggressive use of divergent and inefficient global tax regimes and treaties by multinational corporations and investors to move profits from high to low tax jurisdictions, leaving consumers and politicians with a strong taste of unfairness. As noted by the current chair of Ofwat (the UK’s water regulator), the infrastructure sector
Technological innovation is having the greatest impact on the infrastructure industry and investment returns. Mobile telephony and fibre optic cables have made many metal or copper wire networks redundant and, with respect to developing markets, virtually non-existent. Another example is the impact of horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing (fracking), which has been nothing short of game-changing. It has, for example:

- Changed the global oil and gas supply outlook, helping to drive down prices.
- Led to an ongoing shift in US power generation from coal to gas, creating a global coal glut and price collapse, which has all but bankrupted EU gas-fired power generation.
- Driven down the value of US liquefied natural gas (LNG) import infrastructure to the point where it is being reconfigured for export.
- Driven a seismic shift in the geopolitical landscape for the US, Middle East and other OPEC countries, and Russia, and ignited a market share war by Saudi Arabia.

Renewable energy is having a disruptive effect in the electricity sector, particularly in Europe. However, it should be noted that it is the large amount of subsidies created by governments and regulators that have been disruptive to the power markets, not the technologies. Advances in energy storage technologies and lower cost renewables could ultimately transform the electricity sector by solving the issue of renewable intermittency and possibly move the industry more towards a distributed network. Water will likely be the next industry to be impacted by technological innovation. Technologies are being developed to improve, for example, leak detection, storage, purification and waste processing. There is even distributed water purification, waste processing and storage systems being introduced for developing markets whereby with time, production modules, scale and scope, these units could ultimately appear in developed countries as a disruptive innovation.

Technology is also being further advanced to provide critical data to consumers of utilities, empowering them to efficiently manage, and potentially radically change, consumption usage patterns and perhaps even procure services (depending on regulation), as key information will be delivered on a real-time basis with the assistance of smart thermostats, smart appliances, smart meters and software. Silicon Valley is attempting to play a major role with regards to developing, processing and owning this information. Moreover, there is the likelihood for Silicon Valley to use this data as a Trojan Horse to sell utility (power, gas, etc.) and other related services to the homeowner. In the near term, the real battle will be in the courts and with the regulators, with respect to who owns this data; information companies will claim it is public domain and belongs to everyone, while consumer protection groups and utilities will claim such information is private. The outcome of these decisions will determine how the utility industry evolves.

Finally, the threat from cyber attacks poses enormous political, social and financial risks to infrastructure owners. Those found to be negligent in maintaining their security will likely be held accountable financially and even potentially criminally for their inactions.
Introduction

Climatic and environmental risk

Climate and environmental issues have already greatly influenced the infrastructure industry and further sudden or prolonged climate change will present even more challenges in the future. The Californian drought, in its fourth year in 2015, has just required a historical state-wide water conservation plan. The implications of that plan for water and electric utilities (both large water and power – and hydro power – users) remain unknown, as do the long-term implications should the changes in weather patterns be permanent. This is indeed one of the great known unknowns.

Economic risk

Economic risk can be assessed on two levels: macroeconomic and microeconomic. From a macroeconomic risk perspective, the recovery from the Global Financial Crisis has been slow, GDP growth remains muted and consumers and governments overleveraged. OECD and developing countries are expected to continue to struggle for some time to shore up their fiscal deficits and minimise the impact of austerity and stagnant or falling median incomes on their citizens. The large fiscal deficits of both federal and state governments continue to be exacerbated by regional recessions and stagflation. Conversely, a spike in inflation could have detrimental effects necessitating regulatory intervention.

From a microeconomic risk perspective, the aforementioned risks have in many cases greatly affected the market economics of various infrastructure sectors. For example, the European renewables sector, which received large subsidies from governments and regulators, experienced rapid uptake by environmentally and cost-conscious consumers and investors (enticed by cheap subsidies). This created overcapacity in the power markets and drove down the wholesale price of power. The result was the planned closure of many thermal plants, which were needed to support the intermittent production of renewables. Regulators then introduced retroactive taxes in various countries and/or other measures to correct real or perceived market distortions, which severely impacted renewable investors’ returns. Moreover, for those plants whose feed-in-tariffs have expired, as most renewable plants (hydro, wind, solar) have variable costs of zero, these entities are bidding their power at low prices which, combined with the over capacity in the markets, is now negating the terminal value of many renewable funds’ recently installed projects. The net result of these risks is that cost of capital has increased across the whole power sector, while overall returns have gone down. This increase in the cost of energy is ultimately passed on to consumers with the potential to create a cost of living issue. Consumers will then address their concerns with politicians and regulators, who will likely initiate further asymmetry for infrastructure investors. The thread of these expected changes again increases the cost of capital. In countries with a history of retroactive changes, it has become difficult to find investors.

Catalysts of change

Driving these dynamic changes is the increased alignment of regulators, consumers and politicians. Regulators have driven increased transparency and have encouraged consumer engagement. This drive coincides with technological innovation and social media, which enables consumers to achieve greater efficiencies in time, data management and aggregation of supporters and funding – in short, greater empowerment. Consumers have effectively utilised technology and social media to form special interest groups, which have significant influence over decisions made by regulators and politicians through the power of vote and/or the power of the purse (for example, financing special interests groups and/or lobbying organisations to influence outcomes or funding election campaigns of aligned politicians).
Regulators have demanded transparency of service and pricing performance, environmental responsibility and other requirements on infrastructure businesses. Further, increased access to information has enabled consumers to better understand how businesses operate. Regulators have incorporated consumer engagement into their overall model and decision-making with respect to existing/new rate cases, accidents/incidents, and mergers or sales of companies. There are many instances where consumers have responded vociferously, materially changing outcomes. Fracking in Europe is a good example where the strategic requirement for cheaper gas and energy independence as well as the upside of employment was overridden by the environmental concerns of the populace.

As recent events have proven, the rule of the regulator and/or the rule of law can be usurped by an incoming(existing government, in many cases driven by social movements, which can perhaps be best described as consumer empowerment. This is likely to affect the infrastructure industry permanently.

Whether the infrastructure industry is entering a paradigm shift or not, there now is a ‘new normal’ for the foreseeable future, which can be summarised as follows:

- Greater volatile geopolitical situations impacting the supply of natural resources and/or distribution of technologies.
- Greater political involvement as well as possible further interventions to correct perceived market imperfections. There is probability for further moratoriums on privatisations and for assets to reverse to state ownership.
- Prolonged period of regulatory austerity for infrastructure owners in order to represent the interests of consumers during difficult macroeconomic times and/or technological transformations.
- More frequent regulatory interventions, particularly in industries affected by technological innovation.
- Greater empowerment of consumers requiring infrastructure investors to justify returns and sustainability and to proactively engage with the communities in which they operate and serve.
- Dynamic technological innovation is creating greater operational efficiencies, further major changes in demand profiles, new business models and possible disruptive changes to various infrastructure sectors.
- Infrastructure returns will ultimately be impacted by the frequency, intensity and complexity of asymmetric risks. This will inevitably change fund structure models and the way investors conduct business.

In conclusion, as Dorothy in The Wizard of Oz stated: “Toto, I’ve a feeling we’re not in Kansas anymore.”

I would like to express my deep gratitude to the authors who have shared their insights for this book as well as Helen Lewer, senior managing editor of PEI Books, who collectively have provided the path to “over the rainbow”.

Jeffrey Altman
Finadvice AG